

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE *****	PAGE OF PAGES 1   2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 03 DEC 15	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 63400 /31716127	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NSWC CARDEROCK DIVISION 9500 MACARTHUR BLVD. W BETHESDA MD 20817-5700	CODE N00167	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE		

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00167 04 Q 0026
	X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03 NOV 19
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE	

# 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods.

(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 0 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of the amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

# 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible)

THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO PROVIDE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS REGARDING THE REFERENCED SOLICITATION STATEMENT OF WORK (SEE PAGE 2)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

- 1) The solicitation is designated as seeking "Commercial-Off-The-Shelf" technology. Our product is based upon a new application of an existing technology, one that was not being used for waste destruction previously. However, our product is not a "COTS" incinerator; rather it is a very effective gasification technology, one that operates in an entirely oxygen-free environment, and therefore does not have any flame. Do you believe that this technology would still fit the intent of the solicitation, or is the intent solely focused upon utilizing an incinerator?

How does the bidder plan to demonstrate the burning of Navy waste mixtures during the test if their system is not a COTS technology? How does the bidder expect to show experience as required in the proposal requirements item #3? Does the bidder's system meet the performance, utilities, and operational requirements of the specifications?

Without knowing the answer to these questions, it is difficult to evaluate their technology. I visited their web site and did not find any clues as to what kind of system they are talking about. Finally, I expect that the program sponsor will insist that a conventional incinerator be used.

- 2) The solicitation requires meeting guidelines for items such as shock, electromagnetic interference, and vibrations. In reviewing the specified documents, they are exceptionally vague. Is there a centralized guideline available? Perhaps one that simply states in more relative a threshold for these characteristics?

Per sections 2.2 and 2.3.3: shock per MIL-S-901, vibration per MIL-STD-167-1 and ISO 6954, EMI per MIL-STD-461 and MIL-STD-462.